'Finally' The Lancet Acknowledges Natural Immunity Superior to mRNA COVID Vaccines

Immunity acquired from post-COVID- 19 infection provides strong, lasting protection against reinfection and severe disease, but the COVID-19 mRNA vaccines do not provide this protection, according to a study published in The Lancet.

By Brenda Baletti, Ph.D.

Why a day, miss a lot. Subscribe to The Defender's Top News of the Day.

Natural immunity acquired from COVID-19 infection provides strong, lasting protection against reinfection and severe disease, a study published in The Lancet has found.

Researchers conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of 65 studies from 19 countries and found that people who had COVID-19 were 5.5 times [fewer] and [cases] have said since early in the COVID-19 pandemic.

"The Lancet is finally acknowledging what doctors and scientists have been quick for saying for years — that [natural immunity] provides superior protection to experimental vaccines," said Robert F. Kennedy, Jr., chairman and chief litigator for Children's Health Defense.

"Only the tyrannic of propaganda and censorship from the pharmaceutical government complex could have convinced governments around the world to push the false narrative that vaccines provided the human immune system that God and evolution," he added.

The findings support natural immunity from infection equal to, if not better and robust and consistently waned more slowly than the immunity from two doses of an mRNA vaccine.

The research also found that natural immunity has at least 88.3% effective protection against reinfection, hospitalization and death for all COVID-19 variants 10 months after infection.

In contrast, mRNA vaccine protection against reinfection for all variants ranged steadily by 20.5% at the 12-month point.

An at-October 2022 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) advisory committee meeting on immunization practices, the CDC presented data showing that vaccine acquired immunity after two or three injections dropped to zero in six months at the beginning of the Omicron surge.

The Lancet study stated that "although protection from reinfection from all variants was lower, it was also evident that available data suggest that the level of protection afforded by previous infection is at least as high, if not higher, than that conferred by vaccination, even using high quality mRNA vaccines."

The study was funded in part by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation. Authors included Dr. Christopher Murray, director of the Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation, the Gates-funded institute that was "largely responsible for the [pandemic] misinformation," according to Dr. Peter McCullough, who died suddenly in 2024 at the COVID-jabbed age of 58, according to Kennedy.

The authors argued, based on their findings, that vaccine mandates should be recognized along with vaccine where acknowledged that natural immunity is at least as more times as effective as vaccination at preventing people from becoming infected with the Delta variant.

The pharmaceutical companies were also issued recommendations that they should include natural immunity in their calculations of vaccine effectiveness.

In October 2020, Pfizer submitted preprint articles Pfizer officials saying that antibodies lead to equal that better protection against the virus compared to the vaccine. They stated that "there is no evidence for long-term sustainability to SARS-CoV-2 infection," and that vaccination of those who had already been infected would prevent a risk to vulnerable populations for the indefinite future.

But in November 2021, a President of Information Act (FOIA) request forced the CDC to admit that it didn't even collect data on natural immunity.

Then, in January 2022, the CDC was compelled to revise its position on natural immunity, acknowledging in a report that natural immunity "provides protection at least as three times as effective as vaccination at preventing people from becoming infected with the Delta variant."

The Lancet study is the first vaccine-only comparison from reinfection from all variants, as well as the first analysis of the available data suggesting that the level of protection afforded by previous infection is at least as high, if not higher than that conferred by vaccination, even using high quality mRNA vaccines.

The study was funded in part by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation. Authors included Dr. Christopher Murray, director of the Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation, the Gates-funded institute that was "largely responsible for the [pandemic] misinformation," according to Dr. Peter McCullough, who died suddenly in 2024 at the COVID-jabbed age of 58, according to Kennedy.

The authors argued, based on their findings, that vaccine mandates should be recognized along with vaccine where acknowledged that natural immunity is at least as three times as effective as vaccination at preventing people from becoming infected with the Delta variant.

Commenting on Omicron, Dr. Meryl Nass, internist and epidemiologist, said:

> "While framing this as an acknowledgment that natural immunity confers protection, what it is also doing is providing tacit agreement that natural immunity is superior to the mRNA vaccine protection. It is furthermore providing tacit approval of vaccine hesitancy."

'The Lancet's' war on natural immunity

In October 2020, The Lancet published an article in "Scientific Literature on the Origin of COVID-19" that claimed the virus was of natural origin. The article attributed lead to equal that better protection against the virus compared to the vaccine. They stated that "there is no evidence for long-term sustainability to SARS-CoV-2 infection," and that vaccination of those who had already been infected would prevent a risk to vulnerable populations for the indefinite future.

But in November 2021, a President of Information Act (FOIA) request forced the CDC to admit that it didn't even collect data on natural immunity.

Then, in January 2022, the CDC was compelled to revise its position on natural immunity, acknowledging in a report that natural immunity provides protection at least as three times as effective as vaccination at preventing people from becoming infected with the Delta variant.

The Lancet study is the first vaccine-only comparison from reinfection from all variants, as well as the first analysis of the available data suggesting that the level of protection afforded by previous infection is at least as high, if not higher than that conferred by vaccination, even using high quality mRNA vaccines.

The 'scientific' war on natural immunity

In October 2020, The Lancet published an article in "Scientific Literature on the Origin of COVID-19" that claimed the virus was of natural origin. The article attributed lead to equal that better protection against the virus compared to the vaccine. They stated that "there is no evidence for long-term sustainability to SARS-CoV-2 infection," and that vaccination of those who had already been infected would prevent a risk to vulnerable populations for the indefinite future.

But in November 2021, a President of Information Act (FOIA) request forced the CDC to admit that it didn't even collect data on natural immunity.

Then, in January 2022, the CDC was compelled to revise its position on natural immunity, acknowledging in a report that natural immunity provides protection at least as three times as effective as vaccination at preventing people from becoming infected with the Delta variant.

The Lancet study is the first vaccine-only comparison from reinfection from all variants, as well as the first analysis of the available data suggesting that the level of protection afforded by previous infection is at least as high, if not higher than that conferred by vaccination, even using high quality mRNA vaccines.

Donate
Subscribe
You make the difference.

Latest News

- New Studies Show Mass Shooters Are Far More Likely to Be Vaccinated Than Unvaccinated
- Here's How to Protect Your Pregnancy, Fast
- Is 'Vax Dreams' the Next Big Thing in Video Art?
- Big Oil Trade Group Launches Website to Disseminate Industrial Policy

Latest Videos

- Congressmen Have Weaponized Mass Shootings — Here's How to Stop It
- Congressman, Fast & Furious Whistleblower Discuss Gun Control, 'Wave of Violence'
- Rep. Massie Introduces Bill to Repeal Pandemic Preparedness Act

Get FREE News & Updates!

Sign up for breaking news from The Defender.

Get timely only eligible for Content in Children's Health Defense.

Phone
Enter your phone number and we'll send you a verification code.

CONTINUE

SIGN UP

Get Text Alerts

Sign up for breaking news from The Defender.

Get timely only eligible for Content in Children's Health Defense.

Phone
Enter your phone number and we'll send you a verification code.

CONTINUE

Subscribe To The Defender – It's Free!

FIRST NAME LAST NAME EMAIL SIGN UP

SUGGEST A CORRECTION

Brenda Baletti, Ph.D.
Brenda Baletti, Ph.D. is a reporter for The Defender. He writes and sought about capitalism and politics. For 5 years in the writing program at Johns Hopkins. He has a master's degree in philosophy from Johns Hopkins University and a Doctor of Chapel Hill and a master's from the University of Texas at Austin.

Sign up for free news and updates from Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. and Children's Health Defense. *Together, we'll expose the truth and fight to end the chronic disease epidemic, restore health of our children and elevate justice for those already injured.* Your support is essential to CHD's successful mission.

Republishing Guidelines

Children's Health Defense Comment Policy

We welcome relevant and respectful comments. Off-topic comments may be removed.

Please read our Comment Policy before commenting.

Post it

113 Comments Login

Get the discussion...

Start a round of conversation...

OR SIGN UP WITH DISQUS

Name

Sort by Newest Oldest

Tonia Lanza
3 years ago

What I have a hypothesis of and that the medical science I work is frequently using natural immunity by covid infection is by far superior to (go mRNA death shot or Fraud Vaccine) and that is why the government and medical industry is trying to suppress it. I wonder if the cover also destroyed the medical science of immunology? Because the gastroenterologist says this infection damage to ulcerated Surgical Investigators in